arXiv:1404.4835v1 [math.GR] 18 Apr 2014

The conjugacy class number $k(G)$ - a different perspective

Deepak Gumber*and Hemant Kalra
School of Mathematics and Computer Applications
Thapar University, Patiala - 147 004, India

In 1903, Landau [4] proved that for each $k \geq 1$, the equation

$$1 = \frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{m_k} \qquad (m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_k)$$

has only finitely many solutions over the positive integers m_i. Let G be a finite group and let $k(G)$ denote the number of conjugacy classes $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{k(G)}$ of G. The above equation holds in G if $k = k(G)$ and $m_i = |G|/|C_i|$. It follows that there are only finitely many non-isomorphic finite groups with a given number of conjugacy classes. The problem of the classification of finite groups with a given number of conjugacy classes has a long history and goes back to Burnside. The interested readers can refer to [5] and [6] and the papers quoted there for a detailed account of this problem and, in particular, for the list of the groups with up to twelve conjugacy classes.

Let $m(G)$ denote the least positive integer n such that the union of any n distinct non-trivial conjugacy classes of G together with the identity of G is a subgroup of G. Observe that $m(G) \leq k(G) - 1$. In [2], we have classified all finite groups G for $m(G) = 1, 2$ and 3. It is proved that $m(G) = 1$ if and only if G is an elementary abelian 2-group; $m(G) = 2$ if and only if G is isomorphic to C_3 or to S_3; and $m(G) = 3$ if and only if G is isomorphic to one of the groups $C_4, C_2 \times C_2, D_4$ and A_5. It follows that $m(G) = 2$ if and only if $k(G) = 3$, and $m(G) = 3$ if and only if $k(G) = 4$. Therefore, for these two particular cases, $m(G) = k(G) - 1$. A natural question which arises here is:

Is it true that $m(G) = k(G) - 1$ for all $m(G) \geq 2$?

We answer the question in affirmative in Theorem 2. Our notation is mostly standard and that of [1]. A subgroup H of G is called a complement for a normal subgroup N of G in G if $G = NH$ and $N \cap H = 1$. If N has a complement H in G, then we say that G splits over N with H as complement. A group G is called a rational group if every element x of G is conjugate to x^m, where m is a natural number co-prime to the order $|x|$ of x. To prove our theorem, we need the following deep result [3, Proposition 21] from group theory:

Proposition 1. *Let G be a finite rational group with an abelian Sylow 2-subgroup H. Then H is elementary abelian, G splits over G' with H as complement, and G' is a 3-group.*

Theorem 2. *Let G be a finite group. Then $m(G) = k(G) - 1$ for all $m(G) \geq 2$.*

Proof. First suppose that G is abelian and that $m(G) = m < k(G) - 1$. Then G has at least $m + 1$ distinct non-trivial conjugacy classes $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{m+1}$. Let $H = 1 \cup_{i=1}^{m} C_i$ and let

*Research supported by UGC under the Research Award Scheme

$K = 1 \cup_{i=1}^{m-1} C_i \cup C_{m+1}$. Then H and K are subgroups of G and the subgroup HK of G has order

$$|HK| = \frac{|H||K|}{|H \cap K|} = \frac{(m+1)^2}{m},$$

which is not a positive integer. It thus follows that $m(G) = k(G) - 1$. Now suppose that G is non-abelian and $m(G) < k(G) - 1$. Then, for any two distinct non-trivial conjugacy classes C_1 and C_2, there is a subgroup of G containing C_1 but not C_2. We conclude from this that every element of G is conjugate to any of its non-trivial powers. In particular, all elements of G are of prime order, possibly for various primes, and, since x is conjugate to x^{-1}, $|G|$ is even. It follows that G is a rational group with an elementary abelian Sylow 2-subgroup H. Therefore, by Proposition 1, G splits over G' with H as complement and G' is a Sylow 3-subgroup. For $1 \neq h \in H$, the mapping $\varphi_h : G' \to G'$ defined by

$$\varphi_h(x) = h^{-1}xh$$

is an automorphism of order 2. If $\varphi_h(g) = g$ for some $1 \neq g \in G'$, then gh is of composite order, which is not so. Thus φ_h is a fixed-point-free automorphism of order 2 and therefore, by [1, Theorem 10.1.4], G' is abelian and $\varphi_h(x) = x^{-1}$ for all $x \in G'$. It follows that

$$|x^G| = \frac{|G|}{|C_G(x)|} = \frac{|G|}{|G'|} = 2$$

for all $x \in G'$ and hence $|G| = 2.3^b$ for some $b \geq 1$. If $|G'| > 3$, then there exist $x, y \in G'$ such that $\langle x \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle$ and therefore, by hypothesis, there is a subgroup of G containing x^G and y^G but not containing $(xy)^G$. This is not possible and hence $G \simeq S_3$. But in S_3, $m(G) = k(G) - 1 = 2$, which is a contradiction to our hypothesis. This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgment. Authors are thankful to Prof. Evgeny Khukhro for useful discussions. The present proof for non-abelian case is inspired by his arguments.

References

[1] D. Gorenstein, *Finite Groups*, Harper and Row, New York, (1968).

[2] H. Kalra and D. Gumber, *A note on conjugacy classes of finite groups*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math Sci.) **124** (2014), 31-36.

[3] D. Kletzing, *Structure and representations of Q-groups*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1084, Springer-Verlag, 1984.

[4] E. Landau, *Uber die Klassenzahl der binaren quadratischen Formen von negativer Discriminante*, Math. Ann. **56** (1903), 671-676.

[5] A. Vera-Lopez and J. Vera-Lopez, *Classification of finite groups according to the number of conjugacy classes*, Israel J. Math. **51** (1985), 305-338.

[6] A. Vera-Lopez and J. Vera-Lopez, *Classification of finite groups according to the number of conjugacy classes II*, Israel J. Math. **56** (1986), 188-221.